UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX F

Address of Plaintiff 1095 Rydal Road, Rydal Executiv	re Plaza, Rydal, PA 1 <u>9046</u>
Address of Plaintiff 1093 Rydal Road, Rydal Diddwar	
Address of Defendant: 15 Mountain View Road, Warren,	New Jersey, 07059
Place of Accident, Incident or Iransaction: Rydal, Pennsylvania (Use Reverse Side For Ad	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation at (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ P 7.1	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No□X
Case Number Judge Da	ate I erminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within on	Yes NoLX
2 Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a pri	rior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
action in this court?	Yes□ No.
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earl terminated action in this court?	lier numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes Nox
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in ONE CAIEGORY ONLY)	
Federal Question Cases:	B Diversity hurisdiction Cases:
Indemnity Contract. Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2 T FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
Jones Act-Personal Injury	3 Assault Defamation
4 Antitrust	4 Marine Personal Injury
5 Patent	5 Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
5. Labor-Management Relations	6 Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7 🔲 Civil Rights	7 Products Liability
B. Habeas Corpus	8 Products Liability — Asbestos
Securities Act(s) Cases	9 All other Diversity Cases
0 Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
1 All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT	ialegory)
Stewart R. Singer counsel of record do hereby cer Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowled exceed the sum of \$150,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs;	·
Relief other than monetary damages is sought DAIE: 4/22/05	62006
Attorney-at-Law	Attomey I D#
NOIE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above	within one year previously terminated action in this court
4/22/05 Vott Sim	ka 62006

APPENDIX G

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	Econometri st Manageme		:	
•	V		· :	Civil Action
Federal 1	Insurance C	ompany	: :	No:
	1	DISCLOSURE	STATEMENT FORM	M
Please check	one box:			
₹ Ĭ	above listed civ	vil action does		e Econometrics, in the proporation and publicly held
0	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) and publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:			
4/22/0 Date	05		Signation of the state of the s	hypomre y

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 Disclosure Statement

- (a) Who Must File: Nongovernmental Corporate Party A nongovernmental corporate party to an action or proceeding in a district court must file two copies of a statement that identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock or states that there is no such corporation.
 - (b) Time for Filing, Supplemental Filing. A party must:
 - (1) file the Rule 7 1(a) statement with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response, or other request addressed to the court, and

Counsel for: Plaintiff, Rightime Econometrics, Inc.

(2) promptly file a supplemental statement upon any change in the information that the statement requires

APPENDIX G

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

	Economet: st Manager		:			
	\mathbf{V}_{i} .		:	C	ivil Action	
Federa1	Insurance	Company	:	N	lo:	
		DISCLOSU	JRE STAT	TEMENT FORM		
Please check	c one box:					
*	above listed	ernmental cor civil action do that owns 10%	oes not hav	y, <u>Rightime</u> ve any parent corp of its stock	Econometric oration and public	is, in the cly held
ū	above listed	ernmental corr civil action has s) that owns 1	as the follo	y, wing parent corpore of its stock:	oration(s) and pub	_, in the licly held
4/22/ Date	05			AR Signature	infin	

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 Disclosure Statement

- (a) Who Must File: Nongovernmental Corporate Party. A nongovernmental corporate party to an action or proceeding in a district court must file two copies of a statement that identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock or states that there is no such corporation.
 - (b) Time for Filing, Supplemental Filing. A party must:
 - (1) file the Rule 7.1(a) statement with its first appearance, pleading, petition, motion, response, or other request addressed to the court, and

Counsel for: Plaintiff, Rightime Econometrics, Inc.

(2) promptly file a supplemental statement upon any change in the information that the statement requires

SJS 44 (Rev 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
Rightime Econometrics, Inc.			Federal Insurance Company		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				of First Listed Defendant I (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ID CONDEMNATION CASES U	,
	ezza, Singer & Turchi Address and Telephone Number)		I.AND Attorneys (If Known)	INVOLVED	
- · ·	S., Suite 3110, Phila.	, PA			
19103; (215) 6			Not Known	l.	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an X" in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 US Government	3 Federal Question	PIF		DEF	PIF DEF
Plaintiff	(U S Government Not a Party)		n of I'his State	1 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	rincipal Place 🗍 4 🗍 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	对 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	J 2	
	(manage consoliting of Fattor in Four III)		n or Subject of a eign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)				}
CONTRACT	TORTS WHO I SALE TORTS	FORI	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 10 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Forcelosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Dilury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer w/Disabilities Other 440 Other Civil Rights PERSONAL INJURY PROMUC Liability PERSONAL PROPER 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage Product Liability Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights	-	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Liquor Laws 20 R.R. & Truck 20 Airline Regs. 20 Occupational 20 Safety/Health 20 Other 21 LABOR 20 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 20 Labor/Mgmt Reporting 32 Disclosure Act 20 Railway Labor Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Empl. Ret. Inc 22 Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U S Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 830 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
Old Original O 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	J 4 Reins	anad (cnaoit	erred from	Appeal to District Judge from ict 7 Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a Declaratory Judgmen Brief description of cause:	nt AC	tion pursu	al statutes unless diversity): ant to 28 USC	C sec. 2201, 2203
VII REQUESTED IN COMPLAINI:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		MAND \$ eclaratory	CHECK YES only i	if demanded in complaint:
VIII RELATED CASE IF ANY None	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKEI NUMBER	
4/22/05	SIGNATURE OF AT	701NZY O	F RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	- 0	7			
RECEIPT# A	MOUNI APPI YING IFP	//	JUDGE	мад лл	GE

JS 44 Reverse (Rev 11/04)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence For each civil case filed, except U.S plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)"
- II. Jurisdiction The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R. C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings Place an "X" in one of the boxes If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U S C 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box

Federal question (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U S C 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit Place an "X" in the appropriate box If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes

Original Proceedings (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts

Removed from State Court (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U S C, Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date

Reinstated or Reopened (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date

Transferred from Another District (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U S C Section 1407 When this box is checked, do not check (5) above

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

Example:

U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F R Cv P

Demand In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction

Jury Demand Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature Date and sign the civil cover sheet

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Numb	er"	E-Mail Address	
(215) 606-6600	(215)606-6		ssinger@srstlaw.com	_
Date	Attorney-at	-law	Attorney for	
4/22/05	Stall 1	lina	_Plaintiff	
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not	fall into an	y one of the other tracks	(X)
(e) Special Management – Commonly referred to as the court (See reverse simanagement cases)	complex and that	need speci	al or intense management by	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos	ing claims for per	sonal injur	y or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	ired to be designa	ted for arbi	tration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der			ion of the Secretary of Health y Benefits	()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28	U.S.C. §22	241 through §2255.	()
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CA	SE MANA	GEMENI IRACKS:	
plaintiff shall complete a car filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendants	se Management T e a copy on all def event that a defen shall, with its first rties, a case mana	rack Desig endants (S idant does appearanc gement tra	y Reduction Plan of this court, counse nation Form in all civil cases at the ting see § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revenue agree with the plaintiff regarding the, submit to the clerk of court and service designation form specifying the track.	ne of verse said ve on
Federal Insurance Comp	pany	:	NO.	
RTE Asset Management v.		: :		
Rightime Econometrics	, Inc.,	:	CIVIL ACTION	

APPENDIX N

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA				
Rightime Econometrics, Inc., RTE Asset Management V.	CIVIL ACTION NO			
Federal Insurance Company	TO: (NAME AND ADDRESS OF DEFENDANT) Federal Insurance Company 15 Mountain View Road Warren, NJ 07059			
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon				
Plaintiff's Attorney (Name and Address) Stewart R. Singer, Esq. Kellie A. Allen, Esq. Salmon, Ricchezza, Singer & Turchi 1700 Market St., Suite 3110 Philadelphia, PA 19103				
an answer to the complaint which is herewith served upon you, within days after service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.				
Michael E. Kunz, Clerk of Court	Date:			
(By) Deputy Clerk				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RIGHTIME ECONOMETRICS, INC., : CIVIL ACTION

RTE ASSET MANAGEMENT :

1095 RYDAL ROAD

RYDAL EXECUTIVE PLAZA

RYDAL, PA 19046 : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

:

FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY

 $\mathbf{V}_{\cdot\cdot}$

15 MOUNTAIN VIEW ROAD : CIVIL ACTION NO.

WARREN, NEW JERSEY 07059

.

<u>COMPLAINT – ACTION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT</u>

Plaintiffs, Rightime Econometrics, Inc., d/b/a RTE Asset Management (hereinafter referred to collectively as "RTE"), by and through their counsel, Salmon, Ricchezza, Singer and Turchi LLP, hereby seek a determination of insurance coverage by this Court, and, in support thereof, aver as follows:

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff, RTE, is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal place of business located at 1095 Rydal Road, Rydal Executive Plaza, Rydal, Pennsylvania, PA 19046.
- 2. On information and belief, Defendant, Federal Insurance Company ("Federal") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Indiana, with a principal place of business located at 15 Mountain View Road, Warren, New Jersey 07059.

JURISDICTION

- Jurisdiction of this Court is based upon diversity of citizenship of the parties, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332. The amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00.
- 4. This action is filed under and pursuant to the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202. An actual controversy of a justiciable nature exists between the parties, who are citizens of different states, concerning the rights and obligations under an insurance policy issued by Federal to RTE.
- 5. All parties who have or claim any interest in the matter in controversy or who would be affected by any declaration made by this Court have been made parties to these proceedings.

THE UNDERLYING ACTION

- 6. RTE has been named as a defendant in the class action, <u>In Re: Alger, Columbia, Janus, MFS, One Group, Pimco and Putnam</u>, United States District Court for the District of Maryland, Baltimore Division, C.A. Nos. 04-MD-15863 and 04cv00560 ("the Putnam Action")
- The Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Putnam Action alleges that Putnam Investment Trust and related corporate entities allowed a select group of favored investors to engage in short-term trading or "market timing" of Putnam funds that substantially diminished the returns of long-term buy and hold investors (A true and correct copy of the Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Putnam Action is attached hereto as Exhibit "A").
- 8. The Consolidated Amended Complaint in the Putnam Action states that the claims "are asserted on behalf of a Class consisting of all persons who purchased and/or held shares in any mutual fund in the Putnam Family adversely affected by market timing which funds and/or their

{00020516.DOC} -2-

registrants/issuers were advised by Putnam Investment Management, LLC (the "Funds") during the period October 30, 1998 to October 21, 2003, inclusive (the "Class Period") " "(¶ 1,56).

- 9. As described in the Consolidated Amended Complaint, the plaintiff class excludes only the defendants in the Putnam Action, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, "parents, affiliates, heirs, successors or assigns, and any entity in which any defendant has or had a controlling interest, and any other person who engaged in the unlawful conduct described herein (the 'Excluded Persons') any officers, directors or trustees of the Excluded Persons, and all trustees and portfolio managers of the Funds" (Id.)
- 10 The Consolidated Amended Complaint alleges that the plaintiff class purchased various Putnam Funds during the Class Period (¶23-30).
- 11. The Consolidated Amended Complaint alleges that during the Class Period, RTE had a "contractual arrangement" with Putnam that allowed RTE's clients to time Putnam funds (¶¶47, 80).
- 12. The Consolidated Amended Complaint asserts various claims against RTE and the other defendants, alleging, inter alia, that the defendants engaged in a scheme to deceive the investing public, including the Plaintiff Class, aided and abetted in the breach of fiduciary duties to the Plaintiff Class, and "derived management fees and other benefits... from transactions connected with the Putnam family of funds" and "breached their fiduciary duties to the plaintiffs and members of the Class and breached contracts with plaintiffs and members of the Class..."(¶¶ 198, 200)

COUNT I DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

THE FEDERAL INSURANCE POLICY

13... Rightime Econometrics, Inc. d/b/a RTE Asset Management is designated as the "parent organization" under Federal Insurance Company Investment Adviser's Policy no. 7043-0085 ("the

{00020516.DOC} -3Federal Policy")(A true and correct copy of the pertinent provisions of the Federal Policy is attached hereto as Exhibit "B").

- 14. The Federal Policy provides various liability coverages, including Fiduciary Liability, Directors and Officers Liability and Investment Advisers Errors and Omissions Liability
- 15... The Defense and Settlement clause of the Federal Policy provides that Federal "shall have the right and duty to defend any Claim covered by this Policy even if any of the allegations are groundless, false or fraudulent".

Investment Advisers Errors and Omissions Liability Coverage

- 16. The Investment Advisers Errors and Omissions Liability coverage applies to: all Loss on account of any Investment Advisers Errors or Omissions Claim first made against such Insured during the Policy Period ... for a Wrongful Act while performing Investment Adviser Services, including failure to perform Investment Advisers Services
- 17. The Federal Policy defines "Investment Advisers Errors or Omissions Claim" to include "a civil proceeding commenced by the service of a complaint or similar pleading... brought by or on behalf of a customer of the Insured for a Wrongful Act or Interrelated Wrongful Act by such **Insured** solely while performing **Investment Adviser Services**, including any appeal therefrom".
- 18 The Federal Policy defines "Wrongful Act" to mean "any error, misstatement, misleading statement, act, omission, neglect, or breach of duty committed, attempted or allegedly committed or attempted, before or during the Policy Period by any Insured".
- 19. The Federal Policy defines "Interrelated Wrongful Acts" to mean "all causally connected Wrongful Acts".
- Federal has refused to defend or indemnify RTE for the claims asserted in the Putnam 20 Action.

{00020516.DOC} -4-

- 21. Federal has taken the position that the Investment Advisers Errors or Omissions Liability coverage does not apply to the Putnam Action because the action is not "brought by or on behalf of a customer of the Insured", but is brought by or on behalf of shareholders in Putnam Funds who are alleged to have been adversely affected by market timers.
- 22. The claims asserted in Consolidated Amended Complaint are brought on behalf of all Class members who held or purchased shares of Putnam Funds during the Class Period (¶138, 148-149)...
- 23. RTE has approximately one thousand clients who purchased and/or held shares in various mutual funds in the Putnam Family during the Class Period
- The Plaintiff Class in the Putnam Action includes those clients of RTE who did not 24 engage in market timing, but purchased or held shares of Putnam Funds that were adversely affected by the alleged market timing.
- 25. The Putnam Action is a civil proceeding brought against RTE by or on behalf of those customers of RTE who are members of the Plaintiff Class.
- The Putnam Action asserts a claim against RTE for an alleged "Wrongful Act or 26 Interrelated Wrongful Act" by RTE "while performing Investment Adviser Services" within the meaning of the Federal Policy.
- 27. The Putnam Action asserts claims against RTE that are within the scope of the Investment Advisers Errors and Omissions Liability coverage of the Federal Policy
- 28 Federal has an obligation to defend and indemnify RTE for the claims asserted in the Putnam Action under the Investment Advisers Errors and Omissions Liability coverage

Directors & Officers Liability Coverage

29 Under the Directors and Officers (D&O) Liability coverage, Federal agreed to:

{00020516 DOC} -5Pay on behalf of an Insured all Loss on account of any D&O Claim first made against an Insured Person during the Policy Period ... for a Wrongful Act.

- 30. For several years prior to receiving notice of the Putnam Action, Federal represented to RTE that the Directors & Officers Liability coverage provided coverage for claims against the corporate entity, RTE, as well as claims against its officers and directors (i.e. "entity coverage").
- 31. RTE purchased the Federal Policy in reliance upon Federal's representation that the Directors and Officers Liability coverage would provide entity coverage for claims against RTE.
- 32. Based on the representations of Federal, RTE reasonably expected that the Directors and Officers coverage of the Federal policy would provide coverage in the event of claims against RTE, as well as claims against its directors and officers.
- 33. Upon receipt of notice of the Putnam Action, Federal has taken the position that the Federal Policy does not provide entity coverage to RTE for the claims asserted in the Putnam Action and has refused to defend and/or indemnify RTE.
- 34. Federal is estopped from denying coverage to RTE because RTE relied to its detriment on Federal's representations that the Directors and Officers Liability coverage would provide entity coverage for claims against RTE.
- 35 RTE seeks a declaration from this Court that Federal has an obligation to provide defense and indemnification to RTE for the Putnam Action.

COUNT II BAD FAITH

RTE incorporates by reference paragraphs one through thirty five of this Complaint as 36. if fully set forth herein

{00020516 DOC} -6-

- Federal is obligated to defend and indemnify RTE for the claims asserted in the Putnam Action
- Federal has refused to defend or indemnify RTE for the claims asserted in the Putnam Action.
- 39. There is no reasonable basis for Federal's refusal to defend and indemnify RTE for the claims asserted in the Putnam Action.
- Federal knows and/or has recklessly disregarded the fact that it lacks a reasonable basis for refusing to defend and/or indemnify RTE for the claims asserted in the Putnam Action.
- 41 Federal has acted in bad faith and continues to act in bad faith in refusing to defend and/or indemnify RTE for the claims asserted in the Putnam action
- Pursuant to 42 Pa.C.S. § 8371, RTE is entitled to recover damages, interest, court costs and attorney fees incurred in this action

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, Rightime Econometrics, Inc. d/b/a RTE Asset Management respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter an Order:

- (1) Declaring that the Federal Policy provides coverage to RIE for the Putnam Action;
- (2) Declaring that the claims asserted in the Putnam Action fall within the scope of the Investment Advisers Errors or Omissions Liability coverage of the Federal Policy;
- (3) Declaring that the claims asserted in the Putnam Action fall within the scope of the Directors & Officers Liability coverage of the Federal Policy;
- (4) Declaring that Federal has an obligation to defend and indemnify RTE for the claims asserted in the Putnam Action;
- (5) Declaring that Federal must reimburse RTE for all attorneys fees, costs and expenses that RTE has incurred in the defense of the Putnam Action;

- (6) Declaring that Federal must reimburse RTE for all attorneys fees, costs and expenses that RTE has incurred in the prosecution of the instant action;
- (7) Granting such other relief as the Court deems appropriate.

SALMON RICCHEZZA SINGER & TURCHI, LLP

BY: Stewart R Singer, Esquire

Kellie A Allen, Esquire

1700 Market Street, Suite 3110

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 606-6600

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

Rightime Econometrics, Inc. d/b/a

RTE Asset Management